





Assimilation impact from satellite wind observations filling the gap at high latitudes

L. Garand, J. Feng, Y. Rochon, S. Heilliette, Environment Canada, and A. P. Trishchenko, Canada Center for Remote Sensing 5^h WMO Workshop on the Impact of Various Observing Systems on NWP Sedona, AZ, 22-25 May 2012

Outline

- Advantage of Highly Elliptical Orbit for polar regions
- Motivation for a specific AMV impact study
- OSE AMV impact in Canadian data assimilation system
- OSSE definition
- Results
- Conclusion



Page 2 – June 29, 2012



Polar Communications and Weather (PCW) mission in a few words

- 2-satellite constellation in highly elliptical orbit planned for 2018
- Core meteo instrument similar to ABI (GOES-R)
- Extends GEO applications to the pole, 15 min imagery

16-h 3-apogee (TAP) ground track

spatio-temporal coverage vs latitude



Motivation here: impact on NWP of filling the AMV gap in the northern polar region



Environnement

Canada

Current AMV coverage After quality control and thinning

4 HEO satellites would Be needed to fill both N and S gaps



nvironment

Canada

AMVs would be produced from 15 min imagery At latitudes 45°-90°

Page 4 – June 29, 2012



HEO (2-sats) vs LEO (2, 4, 7 sats) coverage

based on May 2011 average

Single images

Image pairs

Image triplets



Ref: Trishchenko and Garand, 2012, Canadian J. Remose Sensing Page 5 – June 29, 2012

Environment Environnement Canada Canada Canada

Start with real AMV observations

OSE:

- OPE system: includes GEO and MODIS AMVs
- NO-AMV: OPE, but without AMVs
- 3D-Var FGAT
- 2 months 27 Dec 2008 to 23 Feb 2009
- Goal:
 - reassessing AMV impact globally and by region
 - will allow evaluating the realism of OSSE

Page 6 – June 29, 2012

AMV impact from real data (72-h, std/bias vs own analysis, OPE-NOAMV

Impact of real AMV: 500 hPa TT ano-cor OPE-NOAMV

Impact of real AMV in polar areas OPE-NOAMV 500 hPa TT ano-cor

OSSE definition

- Period covered in test cycles (2.5 months):
 - 15 December 2005 to 28 February 2006
- Simulated from NR all data types assimilated. Positions are those at the same dates in 2008-2009, to include recent types (GPSRO, IASI) not available in 2005-2006.
- All-sky (cloudy) IR radiances were simulated from NR. Clear radiances were selected as done operationally (residual cloud contamination is possible).
- Background check done once for all (same data assimilated in all cycles).

Page 10 – June 29, 2012

About 4M obs simulated & assimilated

(all those of the operational system)

- Conventional
 - radiosondes & dropsondes, aircrafts
 - surface reports, buoys, ships
 - wind profilers
- Satellite
 - AMVs from Modis Terra/Aqua, 5 GEOs
 - scatterometrer surface ocean winds
 - AMSU-A/B, MHS from 8 satellites
 - hyperspectral IR from AIRS & IASI
 - GEO water vapor channel from 5 satellites
 - GPSRO refractivity from 9 satellites

Page 11 – June 29, 2012

Simulation and assimilation setups

- Assimilation model and system:
 - Operational Global Environmental Multi-scale model (GEM)
 - 801x600 (~35 km), 80 levels, top 0.1 hPa
 - 3D-VAR assimilation, FGAT (First Guess at Appropriate Time)
 - Cycle starts from 5-day forecast from NR
- ECMWF NR interpolated to GEM grid for validation purposes

Page 12 – June 29, 2012

Observation perturbations

- Perturbations applied to the simulated observations using Gaussian-distributed random errors
- No applied spatial or inter-channel error correlations.
- No applied biases
- Calibration of OSSE:
 - Perturbation is simple multiplier of assigned observation error STD for each data type to get (O-A), (O-F) statistics similar to real corresponding statistics

Ref: Rochon et al., 2012, this conference

Page 13 – June 29, 2012

Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Wind errors assigned in assimilation in comparison to AMV MVD errors

Level	Raob m/s	AMDAR m/s	AMV m/s	(O-F) AMV MVD 60-90N 20-60N (m/s)	
1000	1.6	26	2.0		20 0011 (11//3)
1000	1.0	2.0	3.0		
925	1.7	2.6	3.0		1.8
850	1.7	2.6	3.0		1.8
700	1.8	2.6	3.5	2.7	3.2
500	2.0	2.6	4.5	2.7	3.2
400	2.2	3.1	5.0	3.2	3.2
300	2.6	3.1	5.5	3.2	3.6
250	2.6	3.1	6.0	3.2	3.6
200	2.3	3.1	6.0	3.2	3.6
150	2.1	3.1	6.0	3.2	3.6
100	1.9	3.1	6.0	3.2	3.6

- AMV error inflated in relation to (O-F)
- polar MDV lower than extratropics MVD
- perturbation is 0.28 AMV obs error

Simulated AMV: NR wind at NR cloud top

PCW AMV used in assimilation

- thinning at 180 km
- no data where cloud free
- 50-90 N coverage
- allowed range 250-850 hPa
- every 6-h
- ocean only 50-70 N
- same obs error for all AMVs

Conditions similar to operational AMVs except +-3-h window for OPE and range 100-700 hPa

Page 16 – June 29, 2012

Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Definition of OSSE cycles (3dvar)

OSSE cycles

Comparing current OPE with OPE+ PCW AMV:

- PR10: mimics complete OPE system includes GOES and MODIS AMVs
- PCWS: PR10 + PCW AMVs

Comparing NOAMV to adding PCW AMV:

- EXP1: PR10 without AMV (no IR radiances by mistake)
- EXP2: EXP1 + PCW AMVs (no other AMVs)
- EXP3: EXP2 with AMV obs error X 0.7

* EXP1 to be redone with IR radiances assimilated for comparison to PR10 and equivalent denial experiences done with real data

Page 17 – June 29, 2012

Time series of 500 hPa GZ STD vs Nature Run

NO-AMV PCW-AMV

20-50 N

50-90 N

Zonal mean of std difference for temperature No-AMV - PCW-AMV

Vs Nature run

Zonal Mean of Standard Deviation difference

2005122700-2006022312 v3h6exp1 - v3h6exp2

0

30N

60N

305

(min = -0.21, max = 0.307, mean = 0.010)

Variable : TT Lead time : 120 hr

10.00

20.00

50.00

70.00

100.0

200.0

300.0

500.0

700

850

925.0

1000.

905

Results differ significanly early In forecast

Results get much closer with time

Positive impact spreads to lower latitudes (red)

Comparing results vs own analysis and vs NR (50-90 N) NO_AMV-PCW_AMV

Comparing Simulated OPE to OPE+PCW_AMV

(against NR)

Significant gain up to day 3 of ~2h in both regions

Page 22 – June 29, 2012

Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Canada

Comparing Simulated OPE to OPE+PCW_AMV (against NR) 500 hPa temperature

Standard Deviation time series Standard Deviation time series Variable : TT Level : 500 hPa 2005122700-2006022312 Variable : TT Level : 500 hPa 2005122700-2006022312 v3h6pcws v3h6pr10 Region : 20n_50n Region : 50n 90n 024h 1.2 1.4 1.1 1.2 1.0 0.9 1.0 0.8 0.8 2.0 072h 2.6 072h 2.4 1.8 2.2 2.0 1.6 1.8 1.4 1.6 1.4 120h 120h 3.0 4.0 2.8 3.5 2.6 2.4 3.0 2.2 2.5 2.0 2.0 1.8 1227 1230 0102 0105 0108 0111 0114 0117 0120 0123 0126 0129 0201 0204 0207 0210 0213 0216 0219 0222 1227 1230 0102 0105 0108 0111 0114 0117 0120 0123 0126 0129 0201 0204 0207 0210 0213 0216 0219 0222 Time (Month and Day) Time (Month and Day) Gain a 72-h in both regions linked to specific good cases Page 23 – June 29, 2012

50-90 N

Environnement

Canada

Environment Canada

20-50 N

v3h6pcws v3h6pr10

Canada

Impact of reducing AMV observation error (500 hPa UU anom-corr)

Examination of DFS (% of total per type)

OI (%) = $100 * DFS_k/P_k$ (Courtesy P. Du, P. Gauthier)

Page 25 – June 29, 2012

Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Conclusion

- A comprehensive OSSE setup was developed which proves useful fo infer added value of HEO AMVs
- OSE: Real AMVs have modest but consistent positive impact at all latitudes in OPE system up to day 4.
- OSSE: adding PCW AMVs has a significant positive impact up to day 3, not only in region of PCW data (50-90 N) but in midlatitudes as well (20-50 N).
- Validation vs NR or own analysis consistant after day 2
- Gain of predictability of order 1-3h at day 3 in region 20-90 N.

Page 26 – June 29, 2012

