**SPICE-5: Sodankylä meeting, May 19-23rd, 2014**

**SPICE Site summary**

SITE:

1. Successes (covering all aspects and instruments): *should capture those topics which have been seen as positive outcomes (e.g. collaborations, low rate of failure, certain tests, etc…)*
* Overall[[1]](#footnote-1):
* 2013/14 :
1. Challenges/Issues with the experiment (covering all aspects and instruments) : *should cover those aspects of the performance of all instruments on the SPICE site (reference and under test), that have been seen as problems; e.g. ongoing noise problems with a gauge, intermittence, failures, power, etc. If addressed, reference the solution.*
* Overall:
* 2013/14:
	+
1. Data collection: *should reference those instances when the data was missing or of poor quality, such that it could affect the integrity of the dataset for analysis.*
* Major data gaps (longer than 1 week): cause, resolution
1. Items of interest: *this should include those aspects of interest that are not captured under any of the other headers.*
	1. Recommended items for being tracked in the future (either during the experiment or during the data analysis):
	2. topics which presented challenges and for which input from the other team members may be valuable
2. Interaction with Instrument Providers: *cover challenges and gaps, primarily.*
	1. Data transmitted:
	2. Visits from Providers:
	3. Requests/concerns from Providers:
	4. Level of engagement:
3. Lessons learned: *anything that could be shared with the team for potential application on other sites*
4. Plans for 14/15: *reference if continuing as in 13/14, if changes, etc*
5. plans for data analysis from a national perspective *(objectives, timelines, interaction with the SPICE data analysis team).*
1. *“Overall” refers to anytime during the project; the differentiation of 13/14 is only for allowing to highlight progress over the past season of experiments. E.g. it may reflect that changes made in 2013 had a positive or negative effect.* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)