

# Can commercial PRTs meet WMO CIMO response time specifications?





#### **Stephen Burt**

Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, UK

WMO TECO Conference Amsterdam, October 2018



### WMO CIMO SPECIFICATION

World Meteorological Organization 2014: WMO No.8 - Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation (CIMO guide) (Updated version, May 2017), 1139 pp. WMO, Geneva

#### 2.1.3.3 Response times of thermometers

• For routine meteorological observations there is no advantage in using thermometers with a very small time-constant or lag coefficient, since the temperature of the air continually fluctuates up to one or two degrees within a few seconds. Thus, obtaining a representative reading with such a thermometer would require taking the mean of a number of readings, whereas a thermometer with a larger time-constant tends to smooth out the rapid fluctuations. Too long a time constant, however, may result in errors when long-period changes of temperature occur. It is recommended that the time constant, defined as the time required by the thermometer to register 63.2% of a step change in air temperature, should be 20 s. The time constant depends on the airflow over the sensor.



## **RESPONSE TIME THEORY**

### For a first-order response, the rate of change of the instrument output is proportional to the size of the step change

Considering temperatures, where T is the temperature at any instant t, and  $T_a$  is the final temperature reached

$$\frac{dT}{dt} \alpha \left(T - T_{a}\right)$$

Differentiating wrt t for a step change of magnitude  $\Delta T$ 

 $T(t) = T_a + \Delta T \exp(-\frac{t}{\tau})$ 

... where  $\tau$  is the **exponential response time** 

... defined as the time taken for the instrument to respond to 1/e (~63%) of the total change



## **RESPONSE TIME** *T*



- $T(t) = T_a + (T_0 T_a)exp(-t/\tau)$
- τ = 20 s 63% implies complete response (95%, 3τ) within 60 s averaging period
  - WMO specification is 60 s averages for air temperature sensors
  - Defines maximum and minimum temperatures
  - Very few manufacturers publish (meteorologicallyuseful) response time specifications



### **COMMERCIAL PRTs**



### 20 'off the shelf' commercial 100 Ω PRTs evaluated in laboratory tests

- Three manufacturers
- PRT diameters 3 mm, 4.5 mm and 6 mm
- PRT length 50 mm to 100 mm
- 2-3 samples of each unit/type tested to allow for batch variability



### LABORATORY METHOD

### Cooling response time through controlled ventilation

- Heated in aluminium dry block within water bath jacket to ~ 35-40 °C then cooled in wind tunnel
- Wind tunnel ventilation variable 0.5 to 3.0 m/s  $\pm$  5%
- PRT temperatures logged at 2 Hz
- 2 x PRT per run, 5 runs per ventilation value 0.5, 1.0, 3.0 m/s
  - 1.0 m/s is thermometer screen ventilation assumed in ISO 17714
  - ISO 17714 Meteorology Air temperature measurements Test methods for comparing the performance of thermometer shields/screens and defining important characteristics. International Organization for Standardization (ISO).
- Results averaged over 5-10 runs
- 427 individual evaluations performed



### LABORATORY APPARATUS





### **EXAMPLE OUTPUT (2 Hz)** Run 5, v = 1.0 m/s





140

#### Response time $\tau$ to 63%, seconds



Ventilation speed, m/s



# **MAJOR DETERMINANTS OF RESPONSE TIME**

### **Ventilation speed**

- Greater airflow velocity reduces response times owing to increased advective heat transport from sensor surfaces
- Averaged across all PRTs:
  - $\circ$  τ63 **68.0 s** at 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> to **35.4 s** at 3.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Huge variation between sensors of different sizes
- None of the PRTs tested met WMO CIMO response time specification at a 1 m s<sup>-1</sup> ventilation rate
  - Even at 3 m s<sup>-1</sup> airflow, more typical of permanently aspirated systems, only two smaller sensors met WMO CIMO τ63 specification



# **MAJOR DETERMINANTS OF RESPONSE TIME**

### Sensor diameter

- Key determinant sensor diameter, not length or volume
  - $\odot~\tau 63$  varied by factor of 3-4
- One 6x100 mm PRT τ63
  122.9 s at 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> to 64.4 s at 3 m s<sup>-1</sup>
  - » Sensor would require
    > 6 minutes to register 95%
    change in temperature in
    light wind conditions





## **CONCLUSIONS**

- None of the commercially-available PRTs met the WMO 'desired' response time for air temperature sensors
- Response times varied by almost an order of magnitude between sensor diameter and ventilation speed
  - Fastest: 3 x 50 mm PRT,  $\tau$  15.1 s average at 3.0 m/s
    - $\checkmark$  Implies (3 $\tau$ ) complete response within 60 s averaging period
  - Slowest: 6 x 100 mm PRT, τ 122.9 s average at 0.5 m/s
    Implies (3τ) complete response > 6 minutes

### • Are improvements possible?



## RECOMMENDATIONS

# Are improvements possible?

- For air temperature measurements, PRTs no larger than 3 mm diameter should be specified in procurement tenders
  - Particularly where use within passively ventilated thermometer screens is intended
- Suppliers should be mandated to measure and specify τ63 response times for all PRTs intended for meteorological air temperature measurements
- Manufacturers should be encouraged to adapt existing PRT assembly processes to achieve sub-20 s τ63 PRT response time at a ventilation rate of 1 m s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Without detriment to robustness and calibration stability of the sensor



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





# THANK YOU

*s.d.burt@reading.ac.uk* ORCID ID 0000-0002-5125-6546



### **RELEVANT STANDARDS**

- British Standards Institution, 2008: BS EN 60751:2008 -Industrial platinum resistance thermometers and platinum temperature sensors
- ISO 17714 Meteorology Air temperature measurements Test methods for comparing the performance of thermometer shields/screens and defining important characteristics.
   International Organization for Standardization (ISO)