



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



GFDRR
Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery

Training Workshop for National Meteorological and Hydrological Services (NMHSs) on Designing Socio-Economic Benefit Studies of Met/Hydro Services and Products for Members of the Southern African Development Community

Workshop Pre-Survey

This pre-survey helps us gather baseline data about this activity. Please answer the following to the best of your ability. **Individual responses will not be shared**, and will only be used to evaluate pre-workshop familiarity with training topics and the impact of this activity.

Your Name: _____

Gender: ___ M ___ F

Country: _____

Organization: _____

1. Do you work in the fields of Meteorology or Hydrology?

Both Meteorology Hydrology Other: _____

2. If you do not work in Meteorology or Hydrology, please indicate your general level of collaboration with NMHSs:

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

3. Prior to this workshop, have you received formal training on designing Socio-Economic Benefit Studies of met/hydro services?

No Yes

4. Have you previously attended a CCRD/USAID or WMO climate services event?

No Yes (once) Yes (multiple times)

If you responded "yes" above, please specify which event(s):

5. Please describe what you hope to learn in this workshop:

6. How do you hope to use the information from the training in your work?

7. Has your NMHS estimated the economic value of NMHSs (National Meteorological and Hydrological Services) in the past?

- No Yes (once) Yes (multiple times)

If you responded "yes" above, please provide details:

8. Please indicate your familiarity and comfort level with the following concepts in relation to NMHSs and Socio-Economic Benefit Studies:

a. Designing socioeconomic benefit studies of met/hydro services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

b. Met/hydro services value chains and their linkages to users' value chains

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

c. Methods for assessing uptake and quality of met/hydro services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

d. Conducting cost-benefit analyses of met/hydro services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

e. Techniques for economic valuation of non-market goods and services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

f. Measuring external costs and benefits of goods and services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

g. Communicating results of socioeconomic benefit studies of met/hydro services

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

9. How would you estimate private sector demand of NMHS services in your country?

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

10. How would you estimate government demand of NMHS services in your country?

1. Minimal 2. Low 3. Moderate 4. High 5. Very High

11. If you work in Meteorology or Hydrology, do you believe that available funding and support of your NMHS is sufficient to meet current demand for services?

1. Strongly Agree 2. Agree 3. Neutral 4. Disagree 5. Strongly disagree

12. Please indicate your preference for designing a socio-economic benefit assessment that comprises **all** of your organization's met/hydro services ("whole of services"), or a study focusing on services for **a specific sector**.

1. Prefer a "whole-of-services" assessment 2. Prefer a sector-specific assessment 3. No preference

If you prefer a sector-specific assessment, which sectors interest you most (aviation, tourism, agriculture)?

Thank you for your time, and we look forward to seeing you in Seychelles!