Development of a daily gridded MSLP data set over the North Atlantic region using ICOADS

T. Ansell, R. J. Allan, D. E. Parker, P. Brohan & N. Rayner



Outline

- Data sources
- Quality control and gridding issues for marine component
- Grid box uncertainty estimates
- Issues to resolve
- Some diagnostics



Distribution of terrestrial stations





Improved coverage due to ICOADS





Quality control and gridding procedure (marine)

- correct for the diurnal & semi diurnal oscillation
- QC background field removed and residuals are screened (eg. against a critical 'max' value and measure of intra monthly variability)
- residuals are gridded onto a 1x1 degree grid
- daily residual is formed by taking the median of all observations over a 7 degree concentric area, centred on each 1x1 degree target box. Serving to smooth data rich regions and infill data sparse regions
- background field added back to daily median residual value



7 degree 'smoothing'

'smoothing'

- 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
- 0 0 0 0 0 0

'in filling'



'0' = data present, '-' = no data, 'X' = target box



Grid box uncertainty estimates

$G = M + E_{S} + E_{M+}E_{QC}$

- Have monthly estimates of sample error (Es) after Parker, 1984
 - though Es doesn't contain spatial component
- Estimates of the measurement error (Ем) taken from Ingleby, 2000
- How to account for error associated with the 'smoothing procedure' (Eqc)?
- Adapt to daily data?



New procedure to estimate grid box uncertainty

- using NCEP-reanalyses, withhold data to represent historical sampling and apply gridding and QC procedure.
- Repeat with *n* realisations, randomly withholding data, and compare each realisation with complete fields
- Will provide an estimate of the spatial and temporal sampling error and the error associated with the QC and gridding procedure



Anomalously Iow MSLP







1861-1870





Who was reporting?

- 1850-1855 just deck 701 (US Maury) was reporting
- 1855-1862 deck 701 observations decline and deck 193 increase



What are some possible causes?

Bernoulli Effect

- discovered by Daniel Bernoulli, through his work on the influence of wind speed on local MSLP measurements. Simply, pressure is lower in a moving fluid than a stationary fluid
- Have compared gridded fields of deck 701 and deck 193 and found some indication that differences are greater in 'windy' regions and less so in 'calm' regions.
- Some evidence in Maury's instructions, but not conclusive



Data density: 1850-1860



Will have significant improvement with inclusion of Russian stations in version 2

Met Office Hadley Centre

13

Data density: 1861-1870



US Maury observations decrease

1 Met Office Hadley Centre

Comparisons with monthly ADVICE



985 995 1005 1015 102	5 995 1005	1015 1025









19th October, 1870



Storm of 19th Oct, 1870

